



This document serves as a summary of identified conflicts of interest for firms pursuing VIA contracts for services that will either develop deliverables or oversee the development of deliverables for the VIA Rapid Silver Line, and the 2nd Fixed-Route Operations & Maintenance Facility.

A complete listing of the applicable solicitations are found on page 9 of this document. Note that the solicitation of these contracts are subject to change based on the needs of VIA.



UPCOMING/CURRENT PROCUREMENTS

Project/Contract	Typical Scope	Typical Vendors	
Silver Line: Final Design	Prepare complete and final drawings, technical specifications, permit sets, assists w/ public engagement, prepare contract documents to advertise	Architects, Engineers, Cost estimators, Specification writers, Graphics developers	
2nd Fixed-Route Operations & Maintenance Facility: Final Design	and obtain competitive construction, equipment, and materials bids, coordination with CMAR contractor, & provide design services during construction		
Silver Line: Construction Manager at Risk	Construction manage, pre-construction services, coordination with Final Design Consultant, construction phase services, and project close-out	Construction Managers/ Contractors / Sub-Contractors	
2nd Fixed-Route Operations & Maintenance Facility: Construction Manager at Risk			



UPCOMING/CURRENT PROCUREMENTS

Project/Contract	Typical Scope	Typical Vendors
Silver Line: Real Estate Support Services (1 Contract)	Staff Augmentation /Contract Management/ Project Management, Invoice Prep, Right of Way (ROW) Support Consultants to VIA, Real Estate Surveys, Appraisals, Title Services, Property Usage Agreements	Surveyors, Appraisal Companies, Title Companies, or ROW Consultants who may have subs for Surveys, Appraisals, Title Companies



Upcoming Procurements and Conflicts

- ❖ VIA reserves the right to determine in its sole discretion whether a conflict that is detrimental to VIA exists. So, firms with existing, active tasks/contracts related to KSAM Capital Contracts (e.g. ART and 2nd Maintenance Facility) need to closely review their tasks/contracts as they may be conflicted out of proposing/working on upcoming solicitations.
- Upcoming procurements may also have conflict limitations that may prevent a contractor/consultant from proposing/working on more than one at the same time
 - For example, firms (primes and subs) cannot work on both supporting NEPA-related activities and on Final Design activities nor can a firm (including subs) on a Construction Manager at Risk contract be on a Final Design contract.



Upcoming Procurements and Conflicts

- Sub-consultants who have contracts with a Prime listed on page 8, but have not yet performed any services, need to determine if any conflicts exist. To be considered by VIA, a Sub-consultant will need to determine how best to eliminate any such conflict prior to the due date of the various proposals to prevent the Sub-consultant's preclusion.
 - For example, the Sub-Consultant may want to contact their respective Prime Contractor to discuss their removal from the Prime's contract. If this avenue is followed, to confirm it, VIA will need the Prime Contractor to provide VIA with a letter on its company letterhead attesting to the fact that the sub-consultant has not performed any work under their respective contract and that the Prime is willing to terminate its contract with the Sub-consultant. Provided VIA and the Sub-consultant agree, this action will prompt VIA to issue a Contract Modification removing the Sub-Consultant from the Prime's contract. At this point VIA would consider the conflict resolved.
- Primes and sub-consultants who are providing services for a contract or task order related to National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) work will be conflicted from the solicitations listed on page 8.
 - To mitigate any conflict, a sub-consultant may want to contact their respective Prime Contractor to discuss their removal from the Prime's contract following the process mentioned above. This will need to happen prior to any Notice to Proceed (NTP) is given to a Prime to begin services under a contract or task order related to NEPA.



Clarifying Potential Conflicts

Primes and Sub-Consultants with any doubt should reach out to VIA's Procurement Department for clarification.



Conflicts*

As determined in its sole discretion, VIA identifies the following conflicts:

Program Management Support Consultant	ART Design + NEPA 2 nd O&M Facility +NEPA	Comprehensive Public Engagement, Communications, & Strategy	Advertising and Marketing Services
 H2ART (Hill and HNTB) Blended Events Cypress Environmental Consulting Encotech Foster CM Group GKW Engineering ICMS Mendez Engineering Quality Principles The Atkins Group The Solis Group This prime and these subs are conflicted from proposing on the upcoming solicitations found on page 3 and 4.	 WSP - Prime Nelson Nygaard Consulting Poznecki-Camarillo, Inc. MIG, Inc. Maestas Arias TRG KAI Design Stantec/Cox McLain Environmental, LLC Economic Planning Systems AG3 This prime and these subs are conflicted from proposing on the upcoming solicitations found on page 3 and 4. 	K-Harvey	talkStrategy, LLC.

^{* -} While this listing represents the latest comprehensive listing of sub-consultants under contract with respective Prime Contractors, it is the responsibility of all firms interested in proposing to ensure no conflict exists.

8



❖ RFPs out for advertisement or expected to be released in the next 30-60 days:

- > Final Design: Silver Line
- Final Design: 2nd Fixed-Route Operations & Maintenance Facility
- Construction Manager at Risk: Silver Line
- Construction Manager at Risk: 2nd Fixed-Route Operations & Maintenance Facility
- Real Estate Support Services: Silver Line

